



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,224	12/21/2001	Timo Vataja	4208-4038 Nokia 26200	3292
27123	7590	01/30/2009		
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			EXAMINER PERUNGA VOOR, VENKATANARAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com
Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com
jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/024,224	Applicant(s) VATAJA, TIMO	
	Examiner Venkat Perungavoor	Art Unit 2432	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/21/2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-52 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 7236596 to Prokoski in view of US Patent 7003113 to Yanase.

Regarding Claim 1, 26, Prokoski discloses the determining a current location for a multimedia device using positional information provided by a long range cellular network or short-range wireless communication medium see Fig. 1 item 105; encoding multimedia content with the combined expression which includes information about the camera, location, time see Fig. 1 item 108 and further encrypting the encoded message using a content key(i.e. keys that is specific to content) see Col 6 Ln 6-9. But does not explicitly disclose the certification authority receiving the encoding content for authentication and the using of positional information for authentication. However, Yanase discloses certification authority see Fig.1 item 4 and the positional information being used for authentication see Col 2 Ln 36-45. It would be obvious to one having

Art Unit: 2432

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include positional authentication data in the invention of Prokoski in order to guard against copying authentication data as taught in Col 6 Ln 34-39.

Regarding Claim 2-3, 15, 31-32, 44-45, Prokoski discloses the multimedia location and GPS system coordinates being used for location authentication see Col 7 Ln 48-51.

Regarding Claim 4-7, 16-19, 34-35, 47-48, Yanase discloses the different types of networks see Col 5 Ln 33-49.

Regarding Claim 8-9, 33, 36, 47, 49, Prokoski discloses the time and date being used for encoding see Fig. 1 item 106.

Regarding Claim 10-13, 20-21, 37-38, 50-51, Prokoski discloses the different ID being used see Col 8 Ln 20-31.

Regarding Claim 14, 39, 52, Prokoski discloses the determining a current location for a multimedia device using positional information provided by a long range cellular network or short-range wireless communication medium see Fig. 1 item 105; encoding multimedia content with the combined expression which includes information about the camera, location, time see Fig. 1 item 108 and further encrypting the encoded message using a content key(i.e. keys that is specific to content) see Col 6 Ln 6-9, and the time and date being used for encoding see Fig. 1 item 106. But does not explicitly disclose

Art Unit: 2432

the certification authority receiving the encoding content for authentication. However, Yanase discloses the certification authority see Fig. 1 item 4 and positional information being used for authentication see Col 2 Ln 36-45. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include positional authentication data in the invention of Prokoski in order to guard against copying authentication data as taught in Col 6 Ln 34-39.

Regarding Claim 22-25, 27-30, 40-43, Brothers discloses the video, audio, and image data see Fig. 4 & Col 7 Ln 19-23.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571)272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2432

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/V. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2432

January 26, 2009

/Benjamin E Lanier/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432